the public in understanding the DPEIS. The hearings will be to receive comments on the DPEIS. The public hearing identified for January 7 through 15, 1998, in the original **Federal Register** notice will be changed to public forums. Formal comments on the DPEIS for the administrative record will not be taken at these meetings. The forum dates and locations are:

- January 7, 1998, at 7:00 p.m. at the Elks Lodge, 355 Gilmore Road, Red Bluff, California
- January 8, 1998, at 7:00 p.m. at the Tradewinds Lodge, 400 South Main Street, Fort Bragg, California
- January 13, 1998, at 7:00 p.m. at the Holiday Inn, 2233 Ventura Street, Fresno, California
- January 14, 1998, at 7:00 p.m. at the Oakland Federal Building, 1301 Clay Street, Oakland, California
- January 15, 1998, at 7:00 p.m. in the Yosemite Room at the Sacramento Inn, 1401 Arden Way, Sacramento, California

The NEW dates for public hearings to receive comments on the DPEIS will be held:

- April 1, 1998, at 7:00 p.m. at the Elks Lodge, 355 Gilmore Road, Red Bluff, California
- April 2, 1998, at 7:00 p.m. at the Tradewinds Lodge, 400 South Main Street, Fort Bragg, California
- April 7, 1998, at 7:00 p.m. at the Airport Holiday Inn, 5090 East Clinton Street, Fresno, California
- April 8, 1998, at 2:00 p.m. at the Oakland Federal Building, 1301 Clay Street, Oakland, California
- April 9, 1998, at 7:00 p.m. in the Sierra Room at the Sacramento Inn, 1401 Arden Way, Sacramento, California

ADDRESSES: Written comments on the DPEIS should be addressed to Mr. Alan Candlish, Bureau of Reclamation, MP–120, 2800 Cottage Way, Sacramento CA 95825. Request for either a computer diskette or printed copy of the DPEIS should be addressed to Ms. Alisha Sterud, Bureau of Reclamation, MP–120, 2800 Cottage Way, Sacramento CA 95825. Her telephone number is (916) 978–5190.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

If requesting a copy of the DPEIS, contact Ms. Alisha Sterud at Bureau of Reclamation, MP–120, 2800 Cottage Way, Sacramento CA 95825, or by telephone at (916) 978–5190. For additional information, contact Mr. Alan Candlish at Bureau of Reclamation, MP–120, 2800 Cottage Way, Sacramento CA 95828, or by telephone at (916) 978–5190.

Dated: December 18, 1997.

Kirk C. Rodgers,

Deputy Regional Director.

[FR Doc. 97–34119 Filed 12–30–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4310–94–M

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-398]

Certain Multiple Implement, Multi-Function Pocket Knives and Related Packaging and Promotional Material; Notice of Commission Determination Not To Review An Initial Determination Terminating the Investigation Based on Withdrawal of the Complaint

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review an initial determination (ID) terminating the above-captioned investigation on the basis of complainants' withdrawal of their complaint.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Rhonda M. Hughes, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, telephone 202–205–3083.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this investigation on May 27, 1997, based on a complaint filed by Swiss Army Brands, Inc., Swiss Army Brand Ltd. (SAB), and Precise Imports Corporation d/b/a Precise International. Six firms were named as respondents, viz., Arrow Trading Co., Inc. of New York; International Branded Cutlery Inc. of New York; Ewins Hardware Pte. Ltd. of Singapore; Thomas Jewelers of Utah; China Light Industrial Products Import and Export Co. of China; and Sapp Brothers of Nebraska.

On November 25, 1997, the presiding administrative law judge (ALJ) issued an ID (Order No. 10) granting complainants' motion to withdraw the complaint and terminate the investigation. On December 3, 1997, respondents filed a petition for review of the ID. On December 10, 1997, complainants and the Commission investigative attorney filed responses to the petition for review.

This action is taken under the authority of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, 19 U.S.C. § 1337, and Commission rule 210.42, 19 CFR § 210.42 (1997).

Copies of the ALJ's ID and all other nonconfidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, S.W., Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202-205-2000. Hearingimpaired persons are advised that information on the matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (http://www.usitc.gov or ftp:// ftp.usitc.gov).

Issued: December 23, 1997. By order of the Commission.

Donna R. Koehnke,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 97–34034 Filed 12–30–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7020–02 P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

Titanium Sponge From Japan, Kazakstan, Russia and Ukraine

AGENCY: United States International Trade Commission (Commission). **ACTION:** Request for comments regarding the institution of section 751(b) review

investigations concerning the U.S. Tariff Commission's affirmative determination in investigation No. AA1921–51, *Titanium Sponge from the U.S.S.R.*, to the extent it applies to imports from Kazakstan, Russia, and Ukraine, and the Commission's affirmative determination in investigation No. 731–TA–161, *Titanium Sponge from Japan*.

SUMMARY: The Commission invites comments from the public on whether changed circumstances exist sufficient to warrant the institution of investigations pursuant to section 751(b) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. § 1675(b)) (the Act), as amended by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act, P.L. 103-465 (1994), to review the affirmative determinations of the Commission and the U.S. Tariff Commission in the above investigations. 1 The purpose of the proposed review investigations is to determine whether revocation of the existing antidumping orders on imports of titanium sponge from Japan, Kazakstan, Russia, and Ukraine is likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury. 19 U.S.C.

¹The U.S. Tariff Commission was the predecessor agency to the Commission.